## GENERAL COMMENTS - RESTON MASTER PLAN SPECIAL STUDY: PHASE 2

## September 13, 2014 - Meeting

The following comments were received during the September 13<sup>th</sup> community meeting. Individuals completed general comment forms and submitted them independent of the small group feedback exercise.

Name: Ryan Bannon

Very productive session. I would like to see more visibility of these public forums whether by mailing from the county or by Reston Association. I only discovered the meeting time and place the prior day to the meeting from a neighbor.

Name: Mary Lipsey

Fairfax County Cemetery Preservation Association, Inc.

What plans are made for preservation of Mildred Johnson family cemetery? What is planned for archaeological study for the area? Besides the cemetery on the Johnson property, there were Union encampments and a school house.

Name: Glen Nielsen

Lake Fairfax Drive – Hunt property:

- 1. Residential option should ensure low density. Fairfax County recent (May) traffic count showed 75,000 vehicles/day.
- 2. Criteria should include having a legal easement for utilities filed with Fairfax County (currently the Hunt Club area along Lake Fairfax Drive does not have easement for electric lines).
- 3. Close off existing entrance to LR 77(?) Park to foot traffic only –and have different entrance for vehicles as the volume of vehicles has increased considerably since we moved in 32 years ago. The noise is such that we can't enjoy our outside space in the warm weather.
- 4. Lake Fairfax Drive is handling more traffic that its design limits. Hunt Club cluster home vibrates when cars, traverse the road. This zoning should remain unchanged because of the Lake Fairfax Drive limitations.

Please plan a meeting specifically for Hunt Club cluster residents – it would be greatly appreciated as we all have great concerns about Hunt Club redevelopment. Thank you.

Name: <u>Tracy Strong</u>

Affordable housing: difference between inexpensive developments like Fairways or Charter Oaks and developers giving a few units in an off(?) market development. Buses and bicycles.

Green spaces – types – recreation facilities Rec facilities growth with population growth

Name: Andy Sigle

Question: RMPTF Phase 1 provides that parks and rec space will be provided for the population within the Phase1 area outside of the Phase1 geography—much of which within the Phase 2 geographic area. How is this issue handled within the Phase 2 text?

## Name: Lucinda Shannon

- 1. Transit and preserve natural areas
- 2. Encourage local businesses
- 3. Require all new and re-development to build and maintain bike parking, pedestrian trails and bus shelters
- 4. Require more tree cover
- 5. Improve walkability and increase and improve convenience centers
- 6. Require space for local businesses

Name: George Kain (RCA & Arch BOD)

Transportation road improvements in Reston need to be a number one priority in the implementation of the Phase II plan.

## Name: John Lovaas

- 1. Bicycle Transportation Have been briefed on bicycle plan draft; but I have not seen final product. Based on my knowledge, I am very concerned about the lack of safe bicycle on-road or alongside roadways facilities. If we do not have vastly expanded bicycle travel lanes, other facilities.
- 2. The new plan for Phase II must assure that new development be preceded by construction of essential infrastructures so that the area functions as planned. PHASING ISSUE UNRESOLVED.

- 3. Suggest that transportation plan emphasize grids of small streets in and around village centers.
- 4. There is no adequate implementation plan for Phase I, none for Phase II and there is NO IMPLEMENTATION MECHANISM (joint County –Community) to assure consistent adherence to <u>plan</u> and principles. Please establish one so that 25 years from now we'll be able to see that what is on the ground resembles by what was planned.